

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/027,039	12/20/2001	Ulrich Bonne	H0002253 US	253 US 2271	
128 7	7590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER		
	L INTERNATIONAL	SINES, BRIAN J			
101 COLUMB P O BOX 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			1743		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10 4/->	— A			
	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	/)			
Office Action Summary		,039	BONNE ET AL.				
		ner	Art Unit				
		. Sines	1743	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on	the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 April 2004. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-19,22,23 and 25-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9-12,22 and 27-34 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,13-19,23,25 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be) accepted or in to the drawing(se e correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action f	cuments have b cuments have b the priority docu I Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in Applic ments have been rece Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No sived in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/027,039

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, 13, 14, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 1 and 13, does the method include a thermal conductivity measurement step which is compensated? The claim preambles do not indicate that a measurement is compensated for.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5 – 8, 15 – 17, 23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bohrer (U.S. Pat. No. 4,478,076). Regarding claims 5, 15, Bohrer teaches a sensing apparatus comprising; a thin film heater (26); a thin film thermal or temperature sensor (22 & 24) in proximate position to the heater; a semiconductor body (20) with a depression (30) therein; and an energizing means (46) connected to the heater for energizing the heater; and a measuring means comprising circuitry (see col. 3, line 21 – col. 5, line 67). Bohrer teaches that the changing temperatures of sensors 22 & 24 are sensed as changes in resistance. Circuits for accomplishing these functions are illustrated in figures 4 & 5 (see col. 5, lines 10 – 20).

Application/Control Number: 10/027,039

Art Unit: 1743

Regarding product and apparatus claims, when the structure recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent (see MPEP § 2112.01). The Courts have held that where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a *prima facie* case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. See *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). The Courts have held that apparatus claims must be structurally distinguishable from the prior art in terms of structure, not function. See *In re Danley*, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959); and *Hewlett-Packard Co. V. Bausch and Lomb, Inc.*, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (see MPEP § 2114).

Regarding claims 6 – 8, 16, 17, 23, 25 and 26, these claims recite various process or intended use limitations, such as what is to be sensed using the sensor (e.g., hydrogen), which do not further delineate the structure of the claimed apparatus from that of the prior art. Since these claims are drawn to an apparatus statutory class of invention, it is the structural limitations of the apparatus, as recited in the claims, which are considered in determining the patentability of the apparatus itself. These recited process or use limitations are accorded no patentable weight to an apparatus. Process limitations do not add patentablility to a structure, which is not distinguished from the prior art. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The Courts have held that it is well

Art Unit: 1743

settled that the recitation of a new intended use, for an old product, does not make a claim to that old product patentable. See *In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431 (Fed. Cir. 1997). The Courts have held that the manner of operating an apparatus does not differentiate an apparatus claim from the prior art, if the prior art apparatus teaches all of the structural limitations of the claim. See *Ex Parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BPAI 1987) (see MPEP § 2114).

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, filed 4/12/2004, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive. This rejection has been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments and amendments, filed 4/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the amendment to claim 5, as discussed above, Bohrer does teach a measuring means. Furthermore, the amendment to claim 15 does not invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph regarding the recitation of the incorporation of an "energizer" in line 8. The apparatus structure as recited in clam 15 still encompasses the Bohrer apparatus.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 - 12, 18, 19, 22 and 27 - 34 are allowed.

Claims 1-4, 13, 14, 18 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Regarding claims 1 and 13, the cited prior art neither teach or fairly suggest the recited method for compensating a thermal conductivity sensor incorporating the use of a heater and a temperature sensor.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,039

Art Unit: 1743

Regarding claims 9 and 22, the cited prior art neither teach or fairly suggest the recited method of compensating an output of a fluid sensor incorporating the use of a heater and a temperature sensor. Regarding claims 27 and 34, the cited prior art neither teach or fairly suggest the recited method for determining the thermal conductivity of a component in a fluid stream.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,039 Page 6

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Sines, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (11:30 AM - 8 PM EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700